

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

DWAYNE E. FREEMAN,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	1:25-cv-237
)	
SGT. KIM, SGT LAURA,)	
and LIEUTENANT KNIGHT,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

This matter is before the court for review of the Order and Recommendation ("Recommendation") filed on April 8, 2025, by the United States Magistrate Judge in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). (Doc. 4.) In the Recommendation, the Magistrate Judge recommends that this action should be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). The Recommendation was served on Plaintiff on April 8, 2025. (Doc. 5). Plaintiff filed timely objections. (Doc. 6.) Plaintiff also filed a second supplemental objection. (Doc. 7.)

This court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the [Magistrate Judge's] report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). This court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations


made by the [M]agistrate [J]udge. . . . [O]r recommit the matter to the [M]agistrate [J]udge with instructions." Id.

This court has appropriately reviewed the portions of the Recommendation to which objections were made and has made a de novo determination which is in accord with the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation. This court therefore adopts the Recommendation.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation, (Doc. 4), is **ADOPTED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's claims are **DISMISSED** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) (2) (B) for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted, based on the analysis cited on the Recommendation and in Case No. 1:23CV294 and 1:24CV204.

A Judgment dismissing this action will be entered contemporaneously with this Order.

This the 6th day of May, 2025.



United States District Judge